6. Dr. Deepak Nayyar, Director (Part-time official)

7. Shri O. Suzuki, Director (Part-time).

दूरदर्शन पर संस्कृत में समाचार

73. श्री अश्विनी कुमार :
श्री प्रमोद महाजन :

क्या सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या भूतपूर्व प्रधान मंत्री ने यह आश्वासन दिया था कि दूरदर्शन पर संस्कृत में समाचार प्रसारित किये जायेंगे ; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो सरकार की इस संबंध में क्या योजनाएं हैं ?

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय में उप-मंत्री (कुमारी गिरिजा व्यास) : (क) दिनांक 4-3-1991 के तारांकित प्रश्न संख्या 105 का उत्तर देते समय उससे उत्पन्न अनुपूरक के उत्तर में तत्कालीन प्रधान मंत्री ने अन्य बातों के साथ-साथ यह बताया कि दूरदर्शन की कार्यक्रम अपेक्षाओं/सीमित वहनाओं को ध्यान में रखते हुए संस्कृत में समाचार बुलेटिनों के प्रसारण के प्रश्न की जांच की जाएगी।

(ख) फिलहाल, दूरदर्शन पर संस्कृत में समाचार बुलेटिन प्रसारित करने का कोई प्रस्ताव नहीं है ।

Heavy firing by Pakistani troops on Indian posts in Keran sub-sector of Jammu and Kashmir

738. SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM:
SHRI HARVENDRA SINGH HANSPAL:
SHRI ARANGIL SREEDHARAN:

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Pakistani troops restored to heavy firing on Indian posts in the Keran sub-sector of Kupwara in Jammu and Kashmir on June, 29 and July 1, 1991;

(b) if so, the number of jawans killed due to firing by Pakistani troops;

(c) whether the Indian forces also made firing on Pakistani troops in reply; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHARAD PAWAR): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) There were no casualties on the Indian side.

(c) and (d) Yes, Sir. Our troops effectively returned the fire.

Liabilities against M/s Hoechst according to the Judgement of Supreme Court

739. SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN:
SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:
CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that according to the Supreme Court Judgement, the liability is to be assessed on bulk drug as well as formulations;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the liability in case of M/s. Hoechst has been assessed only on bulk drug;

(c) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(d) what steps have been taken to assess the liability against M/s. Hoechst according to Supreme Court Judgement and by when rectification would be made?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (d) While the Judgement of the Supreme Court and the relevent provisions of the DPCO, 1979 envisaged the determination of liability in respect of formulations also, and the recovery thereof as per the undertaking given by the company, the special Team's

Calculations covered the five bulk drugs only. In view, however, of receipt of complaints that the liabilities had not been properly assessed, it was decided, last year, to review the Special Team's findings and the developments thereafter. As a result of that review a tentative liability of Rs. 77.81 crores (Rs. 24.91 crores for the period upto 31-12-83 and Rs. 52.90 crores for 1-1-84 onwards) has since been assessed against M|s. Hoechst and communicated to the company. The company, however, filed Writ Petition in the Bombay High Court. The High Court *vide* it's order dated 8th March, 1991, has allowed M|s. Hoechst to withdraw the petition and framed a time bound programme under which the government would hear the company and pass a speaking order.

Production of Ampicillin Sodium

740. SHRI G. G. SWELL:
CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question No. 210 given in the Rajya Sabha on 25th February, 1991 and state:

(a) what was the production of Ampicillin Sodium in organised sectors during the last two years, yearwise;

(b) what are the basis on which his Ministry recommended restriction on import of this drug;

(c) whether it is a fact that there are a number of drugs which are being produced by three to five units in adequate quantities in the country and for which his Ministry received requests to ban/restrict imports;

(d) whether it is also a fact that no action has been taken thereon so far; and

(e) what are the details of such requests and what is the justification for recommending restriction on import of Ampicillin Sodium based on single producer and inadequate production?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Production of Ampicillin Sodium in the organised sector during the last 2 years is as follows:—

1989-90 (MT)	1990-91 (MT)	April, 1991 to June, 1991 (MT)
2	19·5	9·5

(b) Import of Ampicillin Sodium was recommended to be restricted, in cosultation with DGTD, to encourage indigenous production, as well as to check the outgo of foreign exchange.

(c) to (e) Representations received from the manufacturers of Theophylline for restricting its import have been examined and final decision will be taken by Ministry of Commerce.

In the case of Ampicillin Sodium, there is more than one producer in the country and sanctioned capacity is more than adequate to cope with the indigenous demand.

State-wise per capita income figure

741. SHRI AJIT P. K. JOGI:
SHRI RADHAKISHAN MALAVIYA:

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) what is the per capita income in the country as on date comparative figures for last two years State-wise;

(b) steps taken to enhance it; and

(c) which States have low and which have high per capita income and also which are the States where the per capita income has increased in the recent past?

THE MINISTER OF STATE (INDEPEDENT CHARGE) OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) The State-wise